## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

CHEY DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

USDC Case No. 22-12319

DELTA COLLEGE and JEAN GOODNOW, Retired President of Delta College, in her individual capacity, Saginaw County Circuit Court Case No. 22-47873-CZ

Defendants.

PINSKY, SMITH, FAYETTE & KENNEDY, LLP
Sarah Riley Howard (P58531)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
146 Monroe Center, N.W., Suite 418
Grand Rapids, MI 49503
(616) 451-8496
showard@psfklaw.com

THRUN LAW FIRM, P.C. Roy H. Henley (P39921) Attorneys for Defendant Delta College P.O. Box 2575 East Lansing, MI 48826 (517) 374-8864/(517) 484-0041 (Fax) RHenley@ThrunLaw.com

KELLER THOMA, P.C. Gouri G. Sashital (P64628) Attorneys for Defendant Jean Goodnow 26555 Evergreen Road, Suite 550 Southfield, MI 48076 (313) 965-7610/(313) 965-4480 (Fax) gsr@kellerthoma.com

# **NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

TO: Sarah Riley Howard Pinsky, Smith, Fayette & Kennedy, LLP 146 Monroe Center, N.W., Suite 418 Grand Rapids, MI 49503 Clerk of the Court Saginaw County Circuit Court 111 S. Michigan Avenue Saginaw, MI 48602

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above-named Defendants, DELTA COLLEGE, by its attorneys, THE THRUN LAW FIRM, and JEAN GOODNOW, by her attorneys, KELLER THOMA, P.C., file this Notice of Removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441 and 28 U.S.C. §1446, and remove the above-captioned action from the Circuit Court for the County of Saginaw, State of Michigan to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Northern Division, for the following reasons:

### **COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION IN STATE COURT**

- 1. On or about August 12, 2022, Plaintiff Chey Davis ("Plaintiff") filed a civil action against Defendants Delta College and Jean Goodnow ("Defendants") in the Circuit Court for the County of Saginaw, State of Michigan, entitled *Chey Davis v. Delta College and Jean Goodnow, Retired President of Delta College, in her individual capacity*, Saginaw County Circuit Court Case No. 22-47873-CZ.
- 2. Plaintiff's Complaint alleges violations of the Michigan Elliott-Larsen City Rights Act ("ELCRA"), M.C.L. §37.2101, *et seq.*, and 42 U.S.C. §1983 based upon alleged violations of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 3. On or about September 14, 2022, Plaintiff served a summons and copy of the Complaint on Defendant Delta College. Defendant Delta College has not yet

answered Plaintiff's Complaint, and the time for answering the Complaint has not expired.

- 4. On or about September 14, 2022, Plaintiff served a summons and copy of the Complaint on Defendant Jean Goodnow. Defendant Goodnow has not yet answered Plaintiff's Complaint, and the time for answering the Complaint has not expired.
- 5. No further proceedings have occurred in the Saginaw County Circuit Court action.

# JURISDICTIONAL AND PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR REMOVAL

- 6. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1), this Notice of Removal is being filed within thirty (30) days after service of Plaintiff's Complaint on Defendants.
- 7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), a copy of all process, pleadings and orders served upon Defendants are attached hereto. (Ex.1, Complaint and Summons and Acknowledgment).
- 8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, this Court has federal question jurisdiction over this matter, since Plaintiff's Complaint involves claims arising under 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 9. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's ELCRA claim brought under Michigan law because her ELCRA

claim is so related to her claims arising under 42 U.S.C. §1983 that they constitute the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.

- 10. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441(a), the alleged events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Saginaw County, Michigan, in the federal judicial district for the Eastern District of Michigan, Northern Division.
- 11. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(b), the time to remove this action has not yet expired.
- 12. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(b)(2)(A), all Defendants have joined in and consented to file this Notice of Removal.
- 13. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), Defendant served this Notice of Removal on all adverse parties and the Saginaw County Circuit Court.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, DELTA COLLEGE and JEAN GOODNOW, respectfully request that this action now pending in the Circuit Court for the County of Saginaw, State of Michigan, be removed to this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

#### **KELLER THOMA, P.C.**

By: /s/ Gouri G. Sashital
Gouri G. Sashital (P64628)
Attorneys For Defendant Jean Goodnow
26555 Evergreen Road, Suite 550
Southfield, MI 48076
313.965.8936
gsr@kellerthoma.com

#### THE THRUN LAW FIRM

By: /s/ Roy H. Henley (w/consent)
Roy H. Henley (P39921)
Attorneys for Defendant Delta College
P.O. Box 2575
East Lansing, MI 48826
(517) 374-8864
RHenley@ThrunLaw.com

Dated: September 30, 2022

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on September 30, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing *Notice of Removal* with the Clerk of the Court of the United States District Court using the ECF system, and served a copy via U.S. Mail and electronic mail to the following parties:

Sarah Riley Howard Pinsky, Smith, Fayette & Kennedy, LLP 146 Monroe Center, N.W., Suite 418 Grand Rapids, MI 49503 showard@psfklaw.com

Roy H. Henley Thrun Law Firm P.O. Box 2575 East Lansing, MI 48826 RHenley@ThrunLaw.com

And via email filing to:

Clerk of the Court Saginaw County Circuit Court circuitcourtrecordsfiling@saginawcounty.com

> /s/ Gouri G. Sashital Gouri G. Sashital (P64628)

# Case 1:22-cv-12319-TLL-PTM ECF No. 1, PageID.6 Filed 09/30/22 Page 6 of 23

Approved, SCAO		iginal - Court t copy - Defenda	ant	2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return	
STATE OF MICHIGAN		copy Dolona		CASE NO.	MANAGE MA
JUDICIAL DIST  10th JUDICIAL CIR  COUNTY PROF	CUIT	SUMMONS		22- 47873 -cz	
Court address 111 South Michigan Avenue, Sagin				Court telephone 989-790-5470	no.
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and tele		٦	Defendant's name(s)	iddress(es), and telephone no(s).	
rianum's name(s), address(es), and telep	priorie rio(a).		Belefidant o Hamo(6), e	add obo(ob), dha talaphidha ha(a).	
CHEY DAVIS		v	DELTA COLLEGE JEAN GOODNOW	and	
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and Sarah Riley Howard (P58531) Pinsky, Smith, Fayette & Kennedy, 146 Monroe Center St., NW - Suite Grand Rapids, MI 49503 616-451-8496	LLP				
Instructions: Check the items below that if necessary, a case inventory addendum				to the court clerk along with your complaint clerk.	and,
family members of the person  There is one or more pending the family or family members confidential case inventory (fo  It is unknown if there are pend the family or family members  Civil Case  This is a business case in wh MDHHS and a contracted hea the complaint will be provided There is no other pending or a complaint.	(s) who are the subject or resolved cases with of the person(s) who are m MC 21) listing those ding or resolved cases work the person(s) who are chall or part of the activate plan may have a right of MDHHS and (if applessolved civil action arisessolved civil action arisessolved.	of the comp in the jurisdic te the subject e cases. within the jur- te the subject on includes a that to recover licable) the c sing out of the	laint.  ction of the family di t of the complaint. I  isdiction of the famil t of the complaint.  a business or common expenses in this capentracted health place same transaction		fing 5. of 6(4).
·	•	_		ocurrence alleged in the complaint	
been previously filed in $\Box$ th	is court, 🗆	2///dd44/10/10 <sup>-7</sup> /		Court, wh	iere
it was given case number		_ and assign	ed to Judge		<u> </u>
The action ☐ remains ☐ is	no longer pending.				
Summons section completed by court cl	эгк.	SUMMONS			
serve a copy on the other par served outside this state).  3. If you do not answer or take of demanded in the complaint.  4. If you require special accomment to help you fully participate in	ceiving this summons a by or take other lawful other action within the tile odations to use the cou	nd a copy of action with me allowed,	the complaint to file the court (28 days judgment may be e fa disability or if you he court immediate	e a written answer with the court and if you were served by mail or you wentered against you for the relief	vere
*This summons is invalid unless served	on or before its expiration dat	te. This docume	nt must be sealed by the	seal of the court.	

### Case 1:22-cv-12319-TLL-PTM ECF No. 1, PageID.7 Filed 09/30/22 Page 7 of 23

PROOF OF SERVICE

SUMMONS

Case No. 22-

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

	CERTI	FICATE / AFFIDAVIT	OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE	
I certify that I am a	rney for a party (MC	f, bailiff, appointed	Being first duly sworn, I sta	F PROCESS SERVER ate that I am a legally competent or an officer of a corporate I that: (notarization required)
☐ I served persona ☐ I served by regis	lly a copy of the sun tered or certified ma	nmons and complaint, il (copy of return receir	ot attached) a copy of the summ	ions and complaint,
together with List all	documents served with th	ne summons and complaint		on the defendant(s):
Defendant's name		Complete address(es) o	f service	Day, date, time
☐ I have personally and have been u	attempted to serve tunable to complete s	ervice.		ents, on the following defendant(s)
Defendant's name		Complete address(es) of	f service	Day, date, time
I declare under the best of my informa	penalties of perjury tion, knowledge, and	that this proof of serving belief.	ce has been examined by me ar	nd that its contents are true to the
Service fee	Miles traveled Fee		Signature	
Incorrect address fee \$	Miles traveled Fee  \$	TOTAL FEE	Name (type or print) Title	
	orn to before me on		1	County, Michigar
My commission ex			re: Deputy court clerk/Notary public	
Notary public, Stat	e of Michigan, Cour	ity of		
I acknowledge tha	t I have received se	rvice of the summons a	MENT OF SERVICE and complaint, together with Atta	chments
		onon h	time ehalf of	
Signature		JII D	Official Of	

# STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF SAGINAW

CHEY DAVIS,

CASE NO. 22-47873-CZ

P63072

Plaintiff,

Hon. MANVEL TRICE III

٧.

DELTA COLLEGE,

and

JEAN GOODNOW,

Retired President of Delta College, in her individual capacity,

Defendants.

#### COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

There is no other civil action between these parties arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this Complaint pending in this court, nor has any such action been previously filed and dismissed after having been assigned to a judge.

#### COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Chey Davis, by and through her attorneys, Pinsky, Smith, Fayette & Kennedy, LLP, states as follows:

#### JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND PARTIES

1. This is an action requesting the Court to remedy violations of the

Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act ("ELCRA"), MCL 37.2101 *et seq.*, and of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

- 2. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court.
- 3. Plaintiff Chey Davis is a Black female resident of Saginaw County.
- 4. Defendant Delta College ("The College" or "Delta") is a public college with operations in Saginaw County.
  - 5. Defendant Jean Goodnow is the former President of Delta.
- 6. The acts that are the subject of this action occurred in Saginaw and Bay Counties.
- 7. The amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000, exclusive of costs and attorney fees.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff is a former English professor at Delta College, where she taught for 15 years until 2022.
- 9. Plaintiff was also known on Delta's campus as a lesbian member of the LGBTQ+ community.
- 10. It was known on Delta's campus that then Delta President, Defendant Goodnow, harbored hostility toward homosexuals, and toward Plaintiff in particular.
- 11. Plaintiff had a long history of scholarship as well as leadership on issues of racial diversity, equity, and economic justice on campus. Plaintiff's leadership included participation in organizing faculty with the Michigan Education

Association ("MEA") and participating in well-founded grievances as part of the collective bargaining process. Defendant Goodnow unlawfully targeted Plaintiff's prospects for advancement and security at Delta after Plaintiff's work drew Defendant Goodnow's disfavor.

- 12. In 2019, Plaintiff was eligible for consideration to promotion to full professor, which was recommended by her Department. Under Delta's policies, the promotion had to be approved by Defendant Goodnow.
- 13. Defendant Goodnow denied Prof. Davis' promotion to full professor in August 2019.
- 14. Plaintiff's Union filed a grievance of Defendant Goodnow's denial of Plaintiff's promotion on her behalf, arguing that Goodnow's decision applied the wrong standard and policies, violated applicable policies, and was fundamentally unfair.
- 15. Defendant Goodnow's denial of Plaintiff's promotion was dissimilar in several respects to other similarly-situated candidates to whom Delta granted promotion who were not Black and not of homosexual orientation.
- 16. On November 9, 2019, the Grievance Committee found errors in Defendant Goodnow's decision denying Plaintiff the promotion. Accordingly, the Grievance Committee found that reexamination of Defendant Goodnow's decision denying promotion was warranted and required.

- 17. However, after Defendant Goodnow's alleged reexamination, she communicated a final denial of Plaintiff's promotion to full professor in a memo dated December 9, 2019.
- about the facts. Moreover, Goodnow's denial of Plaintiff's promotion also came after the deadline for eligible professors, including Plaintiff, to elect an early retirement and receive a substantial financial benefit package. Plaintiff relied to her detriment on the possibility that Defendants would treat her fairly upon re-examination of the promotion decision, and therefore elected not to select the early retirement option by the applicable deadline, thereby losing a significant financial benefit.
- 19. Plaintiff then filed a charge of discrimination with the Michigan
  Department of Civil Rights ("MDCR"). MDCR spent more than two and a half years
  investigating the matter, conducting multiple interviews of several parties.
- 20. Defendants' failure to lawfully promote Plaintiff, and other aspects of the Delta environment, made it clear to Plaintiff that Defendants would continue to unlawfully deny Plaintiff further promotion. Those other aspects of employment included false accusations regarding work infractions throughout Plaintiff's career, which she was forced to defend. Although Plaintiff was always cleared of Defendants' false allegations, Plaintiff also reasonably felt she was at constant risk of further adverse employment action and damage to her professional reputation since Defendant Goodnow was able to effectively act with impunity.

- 21. As a result, Plaintiff made several reasonable decisions about how to mitigate the career damage that Delta had caused and was continuing to cause. Plaintiff determined that it was not a realistic path for her to pursue collegiate teaching elsewhere, since she spent a significant portion of her life and career establishing herself in the greater Saginaw area. Realistically, Plaintiff's career at Delta would not have enabled her to obtain a similar, tenure-track English teaching position at any other community college, particularly after Delta wrongfully denied her promotion.
- 22. At this point, in or about December 2019, Defendants constructively terminated Plaintiff as a matter of law, although Plaintiff needed to continue employment to support herself until she could obtain replacement income.
- 23. Accordingly, Plaintiff discontinued participation in some campus committees whose professional value only exists at Delta, and she devoted her personal time instead to training for a replacement career in professional counseling.
- 24. Plaintiff enrolled in a Master's degree program to prepare to mitigate her damages by obtaining a Counselor license. Plaintiff made a significant financial investment to pivot her career in this manner.
- 25. Plaintiff completed her Master's degree in Counseling Psychology in May 2022, and then obtained the necessary licensure to open a counseling practice.
- 26. In the meantime, Goodnow left Delta in August 2021. Michael Gavin succeeded her as Delta's President.

- 27. In or about February 2022, Delta retroactively promoted Professor Davis to full professor.
- 28. However, by then, Plaintiff had significantly invested in mitigating her damages and pivoting to a new career, as she was a few months away from completing her counseling degree. Moreover, there had not been a change to what Plaintiff reasonably feared was an environment at Delta in which she would be unsafe and subject to continued discrimination and harassment.
- 29. In or around June 2022, Plaintiff discontinued teaching at Delta and is now working full-time at a counseling and talk therapy practice.

## COUNT I - Violation of the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act - Race Discrimination

- 30. Plaintiff relies on the allegations of all prior paragraphs, as if they were restated herein.
- 31. Defendants violated the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act ("ELCRA"), MCL 37.2101, et seq., when they discriminated against and harassed Plaintiff on account of her race, including but not limited to denial of Plaintiff's promotion.
- 32. Similarly-situated faculty who are not people of color, and who are direct comparators to Plaintiff, received promotion in the same timeframe that Defendant Goodnow orchestrated denial of Plaintiff's promotion.
- 33. Defendants treated Plaintiff differently than it did similarly-situated employees of other races in the terms and conditions of her employment, including her consideration for promotion to full professor.

34. Plaintiff has suffered irreparable harm, in that she has been unlawfully constructively terminated as aforesaid, and suffered significant financial losses as a result. She will continue to suffer such harm unless the relief requested herein is granted.

#### RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court grant the following relief:

- A. Award Plaintiff economic damages in an amount designed to make her whole, and replace that which was denied or lost to her by reason of violations of ELCRA by Defendants, and/or compensate her for the costs incurred by Plaintiff when she took steps to mitigate the damage to her career, plus interest;
- B. Award Plaintiff compensatory damages for mental anguish and emotional distress;
- C. Award Plaintiff exemplary damages;
- D. Award Plaintiff costs and reasonable attorney fees;
- E. Award Plaintiff such other relief as may be just and equitable.

# COUNT II -Violation of the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act - Sex Discrimination

- 35. Plaintiff relies on the allegations of all prior paragraphs, as if they were restated herein.
- 36. Defendants violated ELCRA, MCL 37.2101, et seq., when they discriminated against and harassed Plaintiff on the basis that she is female and/or because Plaintiff is a lesbian.

- 37. Defendants treated Plaintiff differently than it did similarly-situated male employees and employees of differing sexual orientation in the terms and conditions of her employment, including her consideration for promotion to full professor.
- 38. Plaintiff has suffered irreparable harm, in that she has been unlawfully constructively terminated as aforesaid, and suffered significant financial losses as a result. She will continue to suffer such harm unless the relief requested herein is granted.

#### RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court grant the following relief:

- A. Award Plaintiff economic damages in an amount designed to make her whole, and replace that which was denied or lost to her by reason of violations of ELCRA by Defendants, and/or compensate her for the costs incurred by Plaintiff when she took steps to mitigate the damage to her career, plus interest;
- B. Award Plaintiff compensatory damages for mental anguish and emotional distress;
- C. Award Plaintiff exemplary damages;
- D. Award Plaintiff costs and reasonable attorney fees;
- E. Award Plaintiff such other relief as may be just and equitable.

# COUNT III – Violation of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, per 42 USC 1983

- 39. Plaintiff relies on the allegations of all prior paragraphs, as if they were restated herein.
- 40. Plaintiff made multiple public statements on campus, at faculty meetings, and to Defendant Goodnow, in support of unionization of the teaching faculty and advocating for academic freedom and an inclusive campus environment.
- 41. Once Delta's teaching faculty organized with MEA, Plaintiff participated in multiple well-founded grievances under the collective bargaining agreement which criticized acts of Defendant Goodnow and/or acts taken at her direction.
- 42. Defendants violated Plaintiff's First Amendment rights when they discriminated against and harassed Plaintiff because of Plaintiff's speech as described aforesaid.
- 43. Plaintiff has suffered irreparable harm, in that she has been unlawfully constructively terminated as aforesaid, and suffered significant financial losses as a result. She will continue to suffer such harm unless the relief requested herein is granted.

#### RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court grant the following relief:

A. Award Plaintiff economic damages in an amount designed to make her whole, and replace that which was denied or lost to her by reason of

violations of ELCRA by Defendants, and/or compensate her for the costs incurred by Plaintiff when she took steps to mitigate the damage to her career, plus interest;

- B. Award Plaintiff compensatory damages for mental anguish and emotional distress;
- C. Award Plaintiff exemplary damages;
- D. Award Plaintiff costs and reasonable attorney fees;
- E. Award Plaintiff such other relief as may be just and equitable.

PINSKY, SMITH, FAYETTE & KENNEDY, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff

Sarah R. Howard

Dated: August 12, 2022

Sarah Riley Howard (P58531) Crystal J. Bultje (P80276)

146 Monroe Center, N.W., Suite 418

Grand Rapids, MI 49503

(616) 451-8496

showard@psfklaw.com

#### JURY DEMAND

To the extent that jury trial is available as to any of the issues set forth above, Plaintiff hereby demands same.

> PINSKY, SMITH, FAYETTE & KENNEDY, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Sarah R. Howard

Dated: August 12, 2022

By:

Sarah Riley Howard (P58531) Crystal J. Bultje (P80276)

146 Monroe Center St NW, Suite 418

Grand Rapids, MI 49503

(616) 451-8496

showard@psfklaw.com

Approved, SCAO	Original - Court 1st copy - Defendant	2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return
STATE OF MICHIGAN		CASE NO.
JUDICIAL DISTRICT		12072
10th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	SUMMONS	22- 47873 -CZ
COUNTY PROBATE		
Court address 111 South Michigan Avenue, Saginaw, MI 4860	)2	Court telephone no 989-790-5470
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).	Defe	endant's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).
CHEY DAVIS	1 1	LTA COLLEGE and AN GOODNOW
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no.		
Sarah Riley Howard (P58531) Pinsky, Smith, Fayette & Kennedy, LLP 146 Monroe Center St., NW - Suite 418 Grand Rapids, MI 49503 616-451-8496		
Instructions: Check the items below that apply to you if necessary, a case inventory addendum (form MC 21)		n. Submit this form to the court clerk along with your complaint and pleted by the court clerk.
the family or family members of the person confidential case inventory (form MC 21)  It is unknown if there are pending or reson the family or family members of the person Civil Case  This is a business case in which all or pa  MDHHS and a contracted health plan ma	d cases within the jurisdiction on(s) who are the subject of the listing those cases. It was a subject of the cases within the jurisdiction(s) who are the subject of the country of the action includes a busy have a right to recover exp	of the family division of the circuit court involving the complaint. I have separately filed a completed tion of the family division of the circuit court involving the complaint.  Siness or commercial dispute under MCL 600.8035. The enses in this case. I certify that notice and a copy of
There is no other pending or resolved cived complaint.	il action arising out of the sar	cted health plan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4) ne transaction or occurrence as alleged in the ransaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint has
been previously filed in this court,	•	
it was given case number	and assigned to	Judge
The action ☐ remains ☐ is no longer	pending.	
Summons section completed by court clerk.	SUMMONS	
serve a copy on the other party or take o served outside this state).  3. If you do not answer or take other action demanded in the complaint	summons and a copy of the control the lawful action with the couthin the time allowed, judgruse the court because of a di	complaint to file a written answer with the court and court (28 days if you were served by mail or you were ment may be entered against you for the relief
*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its	20   s expiration date. This document mu	st be sealed by the seal of the court.

	SUMMONS		
PROOF OF SERVICE	Case No. 22-		

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

complete service you must return this	original and all copies to	the court clerk.	,
CER	TIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT	OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE	
OFFICER CERTIFIC I certify that I am a sheriff, deputy she court officer, or attorney for a party (M and that: (notarization not required)	CATE C	PR AFFIDAVIT O Being first duly sworn, I sta	F PROCESS SERVER ate that I am a legally competent or an officer of a corporate I that: (notarization required)
☐ I served personally a copy of the su ☐ I served by registered or certified m	ummons and complaint, nail (copy of return receip	ot attached) a copy of the summ	ons and complaint,
together with List all documents served with	the summons and complaint	3	on the defendant(s):
			Day, date, time
Defendant's name	Complete address(es) of	f service	Day, date, lime
☐ I have personally attempted to serve and have been unable to complete	the summons and comp	laint, together with any attachme	ents, on the following defendant(s)
Defendant's name	Complete address(es) o	f service	Day, date, time
I declare under the penalties of perjurbest of my information, knowledge, a	y that this proof of service nd belief.	ce has been examined by me ar	nd that its contents are true to the
Service fee Miles traveled Fee		Signature	
\$		Name (type of print)	
Incorrect address fee   Miles traveled   Fee   \$	TOTAL FEE	Name (type or print)	
\$		Title	
Subscribed and sworn to before me o	n	1	County, Michigan.
M		re·	
My commission expires:	Olg. Tatal	re: Deputy court clerk/Notary public	
Notary public, State of Michigan, Cou	inty of		
		MENT OF SERVICE	
I acknowledge that I have received s	ervice of the summons a	and complaint, together with Attach	chments
	9-1	4-22 @ 12:45	pm
Houri & Sash	Day, date,	1 ha landh	.000
Signature			

Approved, SCAO	Original 1st copy	- Court - Defenda	nt	2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return	
STATE OF MICHIGAN				CASE NO.	
JUDICIAL DISTRICT  10th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  COUNTY PROBATE	SUI	MMONS	,	22- 47873 -cz	
Court address 111 South Michigan Avenue, Saginaw, M	√I 48602			Court telepho 989-790-5470	
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone	e no(s).		Defendant's name(s), a	ddress(es), and telephone no(s).	
CHEY DAVIS		v DELTA COLLI JEAN GOODN			
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and teleph Sarah Riley Howard (P58531) Pinsky, Smith, Fayette & Kennedy, LLP 146 Monroe Center St., NW - Suite 418 Grand Rapids, MI 49503 616-451-8496					
Instructions: Check the items below that applif necessary, a case inventory addendum (form					nt and,
Domestic Relations Case  ☐ There are no pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.  ☐ There is one or more pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint. I have separately filed a completed confidential case inventory (form MC 21) listing those cases.  ☐ It is unknown if there are pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.					
<ul> <li>Civil Case</li> <li>☐ This is a business case in which all or part of the action includes a business or commercial dispute under MCL 600.8035.</li> <li>☐ MDHHS and a contracted health plan may have a right to recover expenses in this case. I certify that notice and a copy of the complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if applicable) the contracted health plan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4).</li> <li>☑ There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint.</li> <li>☐ A civil action between these parties or other parties arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint has</li> </ul>					oy of 06(4).
been previously filed in  this co	urt, 🗆			Court, w	vhere
it was given case number	and	assigne	d to Judge		
The action ☐ remains ☐ is no lo	onger pending.				
Summons section completed by court clerk.	SUM	MONS			
NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the 1. You are being sued. 2. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving serve a copy on the other party or a served outside this state). 3. If you do not answer or take other ademanded in the complaint. 4. If you require special accommodating to help you fully participate in court issue date.	g this summons and a catake other lawful action action within the time all ons to use the court because proceedings, please catakes.	copy of the normal state o	ne complaint to file he court (28 days i udgment may be en	a written answer with the court f you were served by mail or you stered against you for the relief	were
*This summons is invalid unless served on or b	efore its expiration date. This	documen	t must be sealed by the	seal of the court.	

MC 01 (9/19) SUMMONS

			SUMM Case No. 22-	ONS
TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve of expiration on the order for second sun complete service you must return this original terms.	nmons. You must make a ginal and all copies to the	laint not later than s ind file your return of court clerk.	91 days from the da with the court clerk	ite of filing or the date . If you are unable to
CERTIFI	CATE / AFFIDAVIT OF S			
OFFICER CERTIFICAT I certify that I am a sheriff, deputy sheriff, court officer, or attorney for a party (MCR and that: (notarization not required)	bailiff, appointed 2.104[A][2]),	Being first duly sw adult, and I am no	OAVIT OF PROCES orn, I state that I ar t a party or an offic [A]), and that: (note	n a legally competent er of a corporate
☐ I served personally a copy of the sumn☐ I served by registered or certified mail	(copy of return receipt att	ached) a copy of th	e summons and co	omplaint,
together with List all documents served with the	summons and complaint	·		on the defendant(s):
Defendant's name	Complete address(es) of servi	ice		Day, date, time
,		-		
☐ I have personally attempted to serve the and have been unable to complete ser	e summons and complaint, vice. [Complete address(es) of servi		ttachments, on the	following defendant(s)
Defendant's name	Complete address(es) of servi			23), 33(3), 1112
I declare under the penalties of perjury th best of my information, knowledge, and b	at this proof of service ha	s been examined b	by me and that its c	ontents are true to the
Service fee Miles traveled Fee \$	Siç	gnature		
Incorrect address fee   Miles traveled   Fee   \$	\$	ame (type or print)		
Subscribed and sworn to before me on	Tit	e . ,		County, Michigan.
My commission expires:	Signature:	eputy court clerk/Notary	public	
Notary public, State of Michigan, County		T 05 050//05		
I acknowledge that I have received service		omplaint, together v	Allacillicits	
Signature Heuly (P399)	on Day, date, time on behalf	122 at 2 of Delta (	Pollege	

# **EXHIBIT 1**